

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/509,433	05/30/2000	ROBIN WALTER MILLS	P-3002.1	9540
7:	590 04/26/2002			
JOHN C EVANS REISING ETHINGTON BARNES KISSELLE LEARMAN & MCCULLOCH			EXAMINER	
			NEGRON, ISMAEL	
PO BOX 4390 TROY, MI 48099-4390			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TROT, WIT 40	))-1 <b>3)</b> 0		2875 DATE MAILED: 04/26/2002	12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/509,433	MILLS ET AL.			
nancely nauen	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ismael Negron	2875			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) Memory The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.136(a).	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a so	eparate, timely filed amendment			
☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>19,20 and 30</u> .					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18 and 21-29</u> .	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18 and 21-29</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on 15 March 2002 is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
.⊠ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10.					
10. Other:		V- Outh			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Sandra O'Shea
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800